

Equality Impact Assessment

| Name of Project | Linden Road residential home | Cabinet meeting date If applicable | 16/12/2014 10/02/2015 16/06/2015 10/11/2015 |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Service area responsible | Adult Social Services | | |
| Name of completing officer | Donna Simeon | Date EqIA created | Draft 7 November 2014 Revised June 2015 Revised October 2015 |
| Approved by Director / Assistant Director | B.7. Tarka | Date of approval | 02/11/2015 |

The Equality Act 2010 places a 'General Duty' on all public bodies to have 'due regard' to:

- Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Advancing equality of opportunity between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them
- Fostering good relations between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.

In addition the Council complies with the Marriage (same sex couples) Act 2013.

Haringey Council also has a 'Specific Duty' to publish information about people affected by our policies and practices.

All assessments must be published on the Haringey equalities web pages. All Cabinet papers <u>MUST</u> include a link to the web page where this assessment will be published.

This Equality Impact Assessment provides evidence for meeting the Council's commitment to equality and the responsibilities outlined above, for more information about the Councils commitment to equality; please visit the Council's website.

| Stage 1 – Names of those involved in preparing the EqIA | |
|---|----|
| Provider Manager – Pauline Simpson | 5. |
| Transformation Project Manager – Donna Simeon | 6. |
| 3. Policy & Equalities Officer – William Shanks | 7. |
| 4. | 8. |

Stage 2 - Description of proposal including the relevance of the proposal to the general equality duties and protected groups. Also carry out your preliminary screening (Use the questions in the Step by Step Guide (The screening process) and document your reasoning for deciding whether or not a full EqIA is required. If a full EqIA is required move on to Stage 3.

The recommendation:

Close Linden House as a residential home

Linden House can accommodate up to 6 adults with learning disabilities, including those with complex needs. There are currently 4 residents at Linden Road.

Haringey Council provides support to around 650 working age people with a learning disability. Of those 650, just 157 now live in residential accommodation, while the majority are living in the community – either in their own home, with carers in Supported Living arrangements, or in the Shared Lives schemes. The focus to help adults with learning disabilities to move out of institutionalised care and into appropriate community settings began in 2010 and is central to our ambition to, by 2017, consider the need for residential care only as a last resort.

We have developed a range of provision for vulnerable people that has a greater emphasis on helping people to continue to live independently at home - maximising their independence and reducing social isolation - and is less reliant on traditional institutions. Working closely with our partners we are increasing the availability of schemes such as Supported Living and Shared Lives – demonstrating how most needs, including complex needs, can be met in the community.

This proposal to close Linden Road Residential Home would require the reassessment or review of the needs of the current service users, with a view to identifying satisfactory alternative provision to meet assessed needs. The change will provide opportunity for vulnerable people to live independently in their own homes and assist them to achieve their goals in independent living and give people real supported living options through supporting their choices and enabling them to have control over their lives

It is acknowledged from the consultation feedback, that both carers and service users would be affected by the proposal. We do not underestimate the anxiety and concern that may be felt by residents about these proposals. Our engagement and consultation with those potentially affected has helped us better understand any negative impact that the proposals might have on individuals and how we might reduce this. We have given consideration to how individual needs can be met and how we can mitigate any unavoidable negative impacts.

| Stage 3 - Scor | oing Exercise - | Employ | yee data used in this Equality Impact Assessment |
|----------------|-----------------|--------|--|
| | | | |

Identify the main sources of the evidence, both quantitative and qualitative, that supports your analysis. This could include for example, data on the Council's workforce, equalities profile of service users, recent surveys, research, results of recent relevant consultations, Haringey Borough Profile, Haringey Joint Strategic Needs Assessment and any other sources of relevant information, local, regional or national.

| Data Source (include link where published) | What does this data include? |
|--|------------------------------|
| Human Resources records (Jun/Jul 2015) | Staff profiles |
| | |
| | |

| Stage 4 – Scoping Exercise - Service data used in this Equality Imparties the section to be completed where there is a change to the service | |
|--|---|
| Data Source (include link where published) | What does this data include? |
| EqIA Profile on Harinet (2011 Key statistics and facts sheet) | Sex, Age, Ethnicity, Disability information, Race & Ethnicity, Religion/Belief Marriage and Civil Partnership – for the Borough of Haringey |
| http://www.haringey.gov.uk/council-and-democracy/about-council/facts-and-figures/statistics/haringey-census-statistics | |
| Mosaic Data on Linden Road Residential Home service users: as at 22/10/2015 | Service Users |

| Stage 5a - Considering the above information, what impact will this proposal have on the following groups in terms of impact on |
|---|
| residents and service delivery: |

Positive and negative impacts identified will need to form part of your action plan.

| rositive | anu nega | uve imp | Jacis I | uen | inteu Will | need to form part | | | N L O |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|-----|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|--|
| | 1 | | | | | Positive | Negative | Details | None – why? |
| 0 | Dama | | | | at July | | | | Although the residents are currently all male, this |
| Gender | Boro | | | 201 | • | | | | is not by design. There is no restriction by gender |
| | no. | % | no. | % | | | | | across the service as a whole. |
| Female | 128702 | 50.5% | 0 | | 0% | | | | We will work with all service users and provider |
| Male | 126224 | 49.5% | 5 | | 100% | | | | services to ensure suitable availability for both |
| TOTALS | 254926 | 100% | 5 | | 100% | | | | genders. |
| Gender I | Reassigni | ment | | | | | | Available data does not indicate the status for service users on Gender Reassignment. | The consultation questionnaire asked respondents for information regarding this characteristic, the pattern of responses did not differ from the general pattern. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate differing impacts on service users on the grounds of their gender reassignment status. |
| Age | | | | | | 80% of service users are under 40 | | All service users will have a | Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate differing impacts on service users on the grounds |
| | | | Lind | | s at July | years of age; this | | reassessment/review | of their age. |
| Age | Boro | ough | | 201 | 15 | proposal promotes and provides | | of their needs, with a view to identifying | |
| | no. | % | no. | | % | opportunities to this | | satisfactory | |
| 25-29 | 28062 | 16% | | 1 | 20% | group of adults to | | alternative provision | |
| 30-34 | 28539 | 17% | | 2 | 40% | have greater independence and | | to meet assessed needs. | |
| 35-39 | 22684 | 13% | | 1 | 20% | control over their | | needs. | |
| 40-44 | 20135 | 12% | | 0 | 0% | lives. We will work | | | |
| 45-49 | 17656 | 10% | | 0 | 0% | with service users and provider | | | |
| 50-54 | 13416 | 8% | | 1 | 20% | services to ensure | | | |
| 55-59 | 10613 | 6% | | 0 | 0% | suitable availability | | | |
| 00 00 | 10010 | 370 | 1 | U | 0 70 | of opportunity for all | | | |

| | | | | | | age groups. | 1 | | |
|---------------|------------|---------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 60-64 | 9243 | 5% | | 0 | 0% | age groups. | | | |
| 65-69 | 6680 | 4% | | 0 | 0% | | | | |
| 70-74 | 5895 | 3% | | 0 | 0% | | | | |
| 75-79 | 4506 | 3% | | 0 | 0% | | | | |
| 80+ | 5288 | 3% | | 0 | 0% | | | | |
| TOTAL | 172717 | 100% | | 5 | 100% | | | | |
| Disability | | 10070 | | | | | Residents have | | Feedback from the consultation indicated that |
| | | | | | | | a learning | | residents were concerned about the accessibility |
| The Office of | | | oes not | collect of | detailed | | disability - | | and quality of alternative provision within the |
| information | on type of | disabilities. | | | | | autism to behaviours that | | borough for adults with learning disabilities. |
| | | | | | | | can be | | See section 6 for mitigations. |
| | | | | | | | challenging. | | Goo comon o ter innigamente |
| Race & E | thnicity | | | | | | | | The residential home is not restricted by race and |
| | | | | n as at | | | | | ethnicity. The service is open to anyone aged 18 |
| Ethnicity | Boro | ough | July | 2015 | | | | | - 64 years provided they meet Care Act eligibility and the service can meet their assessed needs. |
| | | | | | | | | | and the service can meet their assessed needs. |
| | no. | % | no | % | | | | | In identifying suitable alternative accommodation |
| Asian | 24150 | 15% | 0 | 0% | | | | | for the residents and in the wider promotion of independence for all adults, due regard will be |
| Black | 47830 | 29% | 4 | 80% | 1 | | | | shown to race and ethnicity. |
| Mixed | 16548 | 10% | 1 | 20% | 1 | | | | |
| Other | 12055 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 1 | | | | |
| White | 65919 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 1 | | | | |
| TOTALS | 166502 | 100% | 5 | 100% | 1 | | | | |
| Sexual O | | | ı | | _ | | | Available data does | The consultation questionnaire asked |
| | | | | | | | | not indicate the | respondents for information regarding this |
| | | | | | | | | status for service | characteristic, the pattern of responses did not |
| | | | | | | | | users on sexual orientation. | differ from the general pattern. |
| | | | | | | | | onontation. | Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate |
| | | | | | | | | | differing impacts on service users on the grounds |
| | | | | | | | | | of their sexual orientation status. |

| Religion or I | | ough | Linde | n as at 2015 | | There is no restriction by religion or belief across the service as a whole. |
|------------------|-------------|----------|-------|-----------------|--|---|
| - | no. | % | no. | % | | We note that all service users are of Christian belief. The consultation feedback did not indicate |
| Christian | 114659 | 45% | 5 | 100 % | | significance to this characteristic in the response to the proposal, however this information will be |
| Hindu | 4539 | 2% | 0 | 0% | | considered in identifying alternative options for the |
| Jewish | 7643 | 3% | 0 | 0% | | affected service users. |
| Muslim | 36130 | 14% | 0 | 0% | | |
| None/none stated | 87015 | 34% | 0 | 0% | | |
| Other | 4132 | 2% | 0 | 0% | | |
| Sikh | 808 | 0% | 0 | 0% | | |
| TOTALS | 254926 | 100% | 5 | 100 % | | |
| Pregnancy 8 | & Maternity | | | | Available data does not indicate the status for service users on pregnancy and maternity. | The consultation questionnaire asked respondents for information regarding this characteristic, the pattern of responses did not differ from the general pattern. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicated differing impacts on service users on the ground of their sexual orientation status. |
| Marriage an | d Civil Par | tnership | | | Available data does not indicate the status for service users on marriage and civil partnership status | The consultation questionnaire asked respondents for information regarding this characteristic, the pattern of responses did not differ from the general pattern. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicat differing impacts on service users on the ground of their marriage and civil partnership status. |

Stage 5b – For your employees and considering the above information, what impact will this proposal have on the following groups:

| Positive and n | egative in | npacts i | dentified | will ne | ed to form part | of your action pl | an. | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | Positive | Negative | Details | None – why? |
| Sex | | | | | | | The recommendation is | The workforce at Linden Road Residential |
| Sex: | All staff | % | Linden | % | | | that all positions at | Home is equally balanced between men |
| All people | 2,727 | | 20 | | | | Linden Road | and women therefore we do not consider |
| Males | 887 | 32.5% | 10 | 50% | | | Residential Home | there is risk of a disproportionate risk of |
| Females | 1,840 | 67.5% | 10 | 50% | | | will be made redundant and | impact on this characteristic. |
| | | | | | | | therefore all staff | |
| | | | | | | | will be directly | |
| Gender Reassign | ment | | | | | | affected by the proposal. | There will be an opportunity for staff to raise any impacts arising for this characteristic |
| Gender | | | | | | | | during the consultation |
| Reassignment | | | | | | | All employees | |
| T I | | . 7 . 1 . 1 . | | | | | within this service area will be treated | |
| The relevant da | ata is not a | vallable. | | | | The netentially | equally in | |
| Age | | | | | | The potentially affected | accordance with | |
| | All staff | | Un den | % | | workforce is | the Council's | |
| Age: | All staff | | Linden | % | | comparibly older | redeployment | |
| Total | 2,727 | % | 20 | | | than the Council | policy and procedures. As | |
| 16-24 | 34 | 1.2% | 1 | 5% | | workforce; 50% of staff are in the | this service is | |
| 25-39 | 681 | 25.0% | 3 | 15% | | 50-64 age group | recommended for | |
| 40-49 | 822 | 30.1% | 5 | 25% | | compared to | closure all | |
| 50-64 | 1,138 | 41.7% | 10 | 50% | | 41.7% across the | employees will be consulted with for | |
| 65 + | 52 | 1.9% | 1 | 5% | | council generally. Overall there is a | 45 days and all | |
| | | | | | | disproportionately higher risk of impact on staff in the 50-64 age group. | council procedures will apply; and where possible they will be offer alternative employment under the council's redeployment | |

| | | | | | procedure. | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|-----|--|--|
| | | | | | P 11111 | |
| Disability | | | | | | The workforce at Linden Road Residential |
| Disability: | All staff | % | Linden | % | | Home is fairly balanced between the staff with disabilities within the service and staff across the council generally. Therefore |
| All people | 2,727 | | 20 | | | we do not consider there is risk of a |
| Disabled Staff | 282 | 10.3% | 3 | 15% | | disproportionate impact on this characteristic. |
| Non Disabled Staff | 1,775 | 65.1% | 16 | 80% | | Disabled staff will be treated fairly during the consultation and redeployment process. |
| Not Declared | 670 | 24.6% | 1 | 5% | | |
| Race & Ethnicity | All staff | % | Linden | % | The potentially affected workforce | |
| Ethnicity: | 2 727 | | 20 | | contains comparibly more | |
| All people White | 2,727 1,219 | 44.7% | 20 | 20% | staff from BME | |
| Mixed | 1,219 | 3.7% | 4 0 | 0% | backgrounds | |
| Asian | 263 | 9.6% | 1 | 5% | (75%) compared to the council | |
| Black | 1,009 | 37.0% | 14 | 70% | generally | |
| Not Declared | 59 | 2.2% | 1 | 5% | (53.1%). Overall there is a disproportionately | |
| Other ethnic group | 77 | 2.8% | 0 | 0% | higher impact on staff from BME background. | |
| Sexual Orientation | n | | | | | There is insufficient data to allow an |

| Sexual Orientation: | All staff | % | Linden | % |
|--|--------------|-------|--------|------|
| | 2,727 | | 20 | |
| Bi-Sexual | 8 | | 0 | 0% |
| Gay Man | 12 | | 0 | 0% |
| Heterosexual | 528 | | 0 | 0% |
| Lesbian | 4 | | 0 | 0% |
| Prefer not to say | 188 | | 0 | 0% |
| Not Declared | 1,987 | | 20 | 100% |
| Religion or Belief | (or No Belie | ef) | | |
| Religion or Belief (or No Belief): | All staff | % | Linden | % |
| All people | 2,727 | | 20 | |
| Christian | 335 | 12.3% | 0 | 0% |
| Buddhist | 2 | 0.1% | 0 | 0% |
| Hindu | 16 | 0.6% | 0 | 0% |
| Jewish | 5 | 0.2% | 0 | 0% |
| Muslin | 52 | 1.9% | 0 | 0% |
| Sikh | 7 | 0.3% | 0 | 0% |
| Other Religion | 16 | 0.6% | 0 | 0% |
| No Religion | 152 | 5.6% | 0 | 0% |
| Not Stated | 2,142 | 78.5% | 20 | 100% |
| Pregnancy & Mat | ernity | | | |

| B | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|--------|-----|
| Pregnancy & Maternity | | | | |
| iviateiiity | | | | |
| The relevant da | ata is not a | vailahla | | |
| | | | | |
| Marriage and Civil Partnership (note this only applies in relation to eliminating unlawful | | | | |
| discrimination (limb 1)) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Marriage and | | | | |
| Civil | All staff | % | Linden | % |
| Partnership: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| All people over | 2,727 | | | |
| 16 years old | | | 22 | |
| Single | 550 | 20.2% | 3 | 14% |
| Married | 253 | 9.3% | 3 | 14% |
| Separated | 2 | 0.1% | 0 | 0% |
| Divorced | 24 | 0.9% | 0 | 0% |
| Widowed | 2 | 0.1% | 0 | 0% |
| | | 0.12,0 | | 0,0 |
| | 0 | | | |
| Same-sex civil | U | | | |
| partnership | | 0.0% | 0 | 0% |
| Not Stated | 1,896 | 69.5% | 16 | 73% |

| Stage 6 - Initial Impact analysis | Actions to mitigate, advance equality or fill gaps in information |
|--|--|
| (This initial analysis was completed in June 2015 – before the consultation process.) | (This initial analysis was completed in June 2015 – before the consultation process.) |
| In house services are highly valued by people who use services, their families and carers. However, with reduced public funding, Local Authorities need to develop new models and approaches which increase value for money. | The new model aims to maximise the resource that we have for service delivery and target the available resources based on 1) providing equity for all service users, 2) preventing and delaying the need for long term care. |
| Reviewing/re-assessment of service users' needs will likely result in changes in care provided for some existing customers. As such, it is likely to lead to a high level of anxiety and complaints from customers and from their carers/families. | Service users and staff will be engaged as part of the consultation. Their views will be fed into the full Equalities Impact Assessment, which will return to Cabinet following the consultation period. This will include more detailed analysis of the impact of closing Linden Road Residential Home. |
| Closing Linden House as a residential home will reduce the availability of residential accommodation for adults with a Learning disability within the borough. | To mitigate the effects of the proposals we will: 1) Review/ reassess the needs of the centres' current service users, with a |
| Risks identified through equalities impact assessment: | view to identifying satisfactory alternative provision to meet assessed needs. 2) Work with residential and supported living providers so that adults, are |
| There would be a reduction in the number of staff directly employed by the council and a separate EqIA will be carried out into the equality impact of this change. | supported to identify appropriate support. |
| | |

| Data Source (include link where published) | What does this data include? |
|---|--|
| Consultations on the three proposals were undertaken from 1 July to 1 October 2015. | 37 people responded to the Proposal 2 questionnaire and 78% of responses opposed the proposal to the close Linden House Residential Home. |
| An independent Advocacy Provider (LDX) was available for individuals during the public consultation meetings to help people to express their wishes and feelings, support them in weighing up their options and assist them in making their own decisions regarding the proposed changes to services. | Responses to the consultation included feedback: 1) Raising concern regarding the quality of care provided by the private sector. 2) Raising concerns regarding the integration of the residents with the wider community. |

Stage 8 - Final impact analysis

Risks identified through the initial equalities impact assessment:

Impact on disability: all service users have a learning disability from autism to behaviours that can be challenging.

Closing Linden House is likely to lead to a high level of anxiety and complaints from customers and from their carers/families. In the course of reassessing/reviewing residents needs there may be a change in the level of care provided which may cause some distress.

Closing Linden House as a residential home will reduce the availability of residential accommodation for adults with a Learning disability within the borough.

Feedback to the consultation indicated overwhelming disagreement with the proposal raising specific concern for the 4 adults currently residing at the residential home and their families/carers. The risks to service users during the transition to a new location and the ability for the service users to be understood and adapt to the new environment and staff.

Mitigations

To mitigate the effects of the proposals we will:

- 1) Re-assess/review all service users and their families/carers to identify their needs.
- 2) Work with service users, their families/carers and independent advocates, to identify suitable alternative provisions.
- 3) Work with residential and supported living providers so that adults are supported to identify appropriate support.
- 4) Work with service users, their families/carers and independent advocates to develop individual transition plans.
- 5) Review the service user at agreed intervals to ensure they are settling into their new surroundings.

Additionally:

- 1) Officers will (i) carefully monitor the development of these proposals; (ii) continue to have "due regard" to the Public Sector Equalities Duties during the implementation process;
- 2) There will be monitoring and oversight of the implementation of the recommendations through i) the Strategic Healthy Lives Priority Board (which has oversight of the strategic and operational delivery of the various service proposals), ii) Transformation Group (which provides scrutiny and challenge to the delivery of the Transformation proposals/plans and ongoing monitioring of quality and performance), iii) the Director and Lead member for Health and Wellbeing. In addition the transformation is subject to scrutiny by the Council's overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Adults and Health Scrutiny panel.

| Note: Should the recommendations be agreed there will be format an additional EQIA. | I consultation with staff and a further equ | ualities analysis for the impact on the | workforce will be carried out via |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Stage 9 - Equality Impact Assessment Review Log | | | |
| Review approved by Director / Assistant Director | | Date of review | |
| Review approved by Director / Assistant Director | | Date of review | |
| | | | |
| Stage 10 – Publication | | | |
| | | | |